

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opub.epv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,724	04/13/2006	Koji Yudate	1163-0551PUS1	1686	
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/17/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	IINER	
PO BOX 747			ESHETE, ZELALEM		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3748		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/17/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Applicant(s) 10/575,724 YUDATE ET AL. Office Action Summary F.....

Application No.

	Examiner	Artonit					
	Zelalem Eshete	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extrasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SN (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the soft or extended period for reply with 19- Extra control or reply with the scale or extended period or reply with 19- Extra control or the scale of the s	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 No	ovember 2008.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
l ''' '	,						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammor. Note the didented office	A TOUGHT OF TORING	10 102.				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	-	su iii tiiis ivationai	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		.d					
See the attached detailed Unice action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/2008.	6) Other:						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/3/2008.

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed on 11/07/2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinuqawa et al. (6,450,138).

Regarding claim 1: Kinugawa discloses a valve timing adjusting device (figure 8) comprising: a first rotor that integrally fixes a housing having the bearing of a camshaft, a case internally having a plurality of shoes projecting therefrom and having hydraulic chambers formed between the shoes, and a cover covering the hydraulic chambers, and that rotates integrally with a crank shaft (16,22); a second rotor that has a plurality of vanes each dividing the hydraulic chamber into an advanced-angle hydraulic chamber and a retarded-angle hydraulic chamber, can rotate through a predetermined angle within the first rotor, and is integrally fixed with an intake or exhaust camshaft (23); energizing means for adjusting the relative position between the first rotor and the second rotor (40,41); a groove provided on the opposite side of the shoe on the housing

Art Unit: 3748

side to accommodate one-end side of the energizing means (36); and a hole provided in the vane of the second rotor to accommodate the other-end side of the energizing means (39; figure 11, 39a,39b).

Regarding claim 2: Kinugawa as modified above discloses the groove accommodating the energizing means (36,37).

As to the method of molding, a product by process claim is rejected over a prior art product that appears to be identical, although produced by a different process, the burden is upon the applicants to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the two. See In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983)

Regarding claim 3: Kinugawa discloses the position at which the energizing means becomes straight is positioned in the vicinity of the position at which the length of the energizing means becomes the maximum (figure 8).

Regarding claim 5: Kinugawa discloses a plurality of the energizing means are equally loaded and are disposed at a substantial equiangular space between the shoe and the vane (figure 5).

Art Unit: 3748

 Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kinugawa.

Regarding claim 4: Kinugawa discloses figure 8 that appear to show the necessary relative dimensions of the spring and the groove which when maximally compressed would exceed the groove and thereby clearance is created between the shoe and the vane, absent evidence to the contrary, that the spring in the maximally compressed state is indeed provide the clearance.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed on 11/07/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Kinugawa discloses a hole provided in the vane of the second rotor to accommodate the other-end side of the energizing means (figure 8, 39; figure 11, 39a,39b).

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3748

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (571) 272-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3748

/Zelalem Eshete/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748